UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,611	10/31/2003	Marc J. Stepkowski	151P11201US01	9934
54228 IPLM GROUP	7590 05/02/200 P.A.	7	EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE	•		KOHARSKI, CHRISTOPHER	
MINNEAPOL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<i>e</i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/699,611	STEPKOWSKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher D. Koharski	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: statute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 February 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10,11 and 13-16</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 is/are withdr	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8, 10-11 and 13-16</u> is/are rejecte	ed.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 13</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the 	ments have been received. ments have been received in App	olication No				
application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Mail Date mal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Examiner acknowledges the reply filed 2/20/2007 in which claims 8 and 13 were amended (improper claim identifiers remain) to include cancelled claims 9 and 12.

Currently claims 1-8, 10, 11 and 13-16 are pending for examination with claims 1-7 withdrawn.

Claim Objections

Claims 8 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claims 8 and 13, the claims lack the proper identifier (amended), and the amended claim set filed 2/20/2007 is improper. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,611

Art Unit: 3763

Claims 8, 10-11 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGuckin, Jr. et al. (2002/0107506).

Regarding claims 8, 10-11 and 13-16, McGuckin, Jr. et al. discloses an extensible catheter (10) and an elongate stylet rod (80, 90) having a distal end (82, 92) adapted to be inserted into the distal end of the catheter (10) with a second attachment (81, 91) wherein a fixed distance is selected so that catheter diameter is reduced from a relaxed state to a fixed state (Figures 3-4B, 11-12, [0140-0145]). The attached element of the elongated rod and the catheter comprises a threaded luer lock and cap (15, 81, 91) that comprise female and male interconnections.

McGukin, Jr. et al. meets the claim limitations as described above except for the fixed distance causing a reduction of the catheter to 85% or less of said predetermined value.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the size differential between the relaxed and unrelaxed states ([0145]) because the reference teaches the it is well known to change the diameter to facilitate insertion and gives one example of a change of 0.215mm to 0.207mm (96% change) and also discloses other size reductions can be used, and since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)*.

Art Unit: 3763

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/20/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's representative asserts that the McGukin, Jr et al. does not disclose the change in catheter shape of 85% or less, but merely and 96% percent difference "[141]". Examiner disagrees, the paragraph cited [141] has no relevant information regarding Applicants Representative's arguments and Applicant does not disclose any criticality for the 85% difference of the catheter states. In cases like the present, where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited within the claims, Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. As such, the claimed dimensions appear to be an obvious matter of engineering design choice and thus, while being a difference, does not serve in any way to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the applied prior art. *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990); *In re Kuhle*, 526 F2d. 553,

Additionally as previously rejection, the reference teaches [0140-0145]) the concept of using a catheter in a stretched state and relaxed state and gives one embodiment and states different size reductions can be used can be used and it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Examiner asserts the McGukin, Jr et al. reference teaches all elements as claimed and these elements satisfy all structural, functional, operational, and spatial limitations currently in the claims. Therefore the standing rejections are proper and maintained.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Koharski whose telephone number is 571-272-7230. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am to 4:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,611

Art Unit: 3763

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Date: 4/29/02

Christopher D. Koharski AU 3763

NICHOLAS D. LUCCINESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EVAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700